**Purpose of CS OPEN**

With a desire to support the development of effective and equitable CS opportunities for girls and the acknowledgment that many nonprofits lack the evaluative capacity needed to ensure high quality programming, the Google’s Computer Science Education Team and the National Girls Collaborative Project (NGCP) developed the Computer Science Outreach Program Evaluation Network (CS OPEN). CS OPEN was created to provide support to enhance the evaluative capacity of network grantees. It was announced at a July 9, 2015 event co-hosted by the Center for Gender Equity in Science and Technology and the White House Council on Women and Girls. CS OPEN is a project designed to improve opportunities and empower underserved girls through CS education by boosting select NGCP programs' knowledge on exemplary practices in evaluating CS education initiatives. For the 2015-2016 pilot, and the second cohort in 2016-2017, Google—in partnership with Haynie Research and Evaluation—provided expertise and professional development to promote evaluation of CS education initiatives within the NGCP network. The overall goal of the project has been to not only enhance the programs participating, but also to inform the field of CS education. From 2015-2017, 16 CS OPEN participating organizations served a total of 6,823 participants, of which 5,028 were girls. The median number of female participants is 120; two thirds of the CS OPEN participating organizations serve only girls.

**Building Evaluation Capacity**

Evaluation capacity for individuals and for groups can be built in terms of knowledge (e.g., evaluation concepts, the evaluation process, strengths and weaknesses of different evaluation strategies, IRB review and approval) and skills (e.g., developing a logic model, designing data collection instruments, analyzing qualitative data). The CS OPEN network was designed to meet the wide range of needs through professional development opportunities and individualized support. The CS OPEN project built evaluation capacity using a variety of strategies in line with Preskill & Doyle, 2008, including:

- The CS OPEN network community of practice
- Grantee involvement in their own evaluation process, including writing an evaluation plan, developing instruments, collecting data, analyzing data, and reporting
- Monthly virtual conference meetings including topic-based training
- A web repository of online evaluation resources
- Individualized support and mentoring to help address specific needs

“An especially valuable tool for an organization relatively inexperienced in conducting program evaluations. It provided direction, asking questions that, when answered, provided a solid framework to utilize when building an evaluation plan.”

— Regarding Evaluation Worksheet
Non-profits need to do evaluation to:
1 understand what is working and what is not,
2 make adjustments to better serve their students, and
3 prove to their funders their program efficacy in order to keep operating and/or grow.

CS OPEN Project Evaluations
Project evaluations were conducted by each of the grantees and included the development and administration of a variety of data collection methods including survey, interview, observation, and content assessments.
• Nearly all of the projects (15 out of 16) used participant surveys.
  Of these, nine projects surveyed participants at two time points – typically, pre and post.
• Half of the project evaluations involved observations of camps, activities, workshops, club meetings, and/or classes.
• Six project evaluations used some type of content assessment, either paper and pencil, online, or embedded in a participant interview.
  Three of these were pre/post.
• Six of the evaluations involved interviews – typically of students and instructors. One project used only interviews – of six different stakeholder groups!
• Three of the project evaluations used focus groups of participants (and one included parent focus groups).

Increased Evaluation Capacity, Based on Self-Ratings
Grantees that participated in the pilot rated the knowledge or skill level of their evaluation team at the proposal time (October 2015), and in August 2016. Some ratings reflect one person’s capacity; other ratings reflect the combined skills of the evaluation team. Key gains include:

11 of 12 projects in logic modeling / writing an evaluation plan
9 projects in developing evaluation questions
6 projects in data collection methods and collecting reliable data
11 of 12 organizations moved from ‘beginner’ to ‘intermediate’ or ‘expert’ in at least one of the nine areas of evaluation

“It was helpful to learn I wasn’t alone - there are a lot of people all over the United States doing this [work]. It was encouraging to me. The ideas and plans that everyone was doing. Different ideas, but at the end we all have the same goal.”
— Regarding Monthly Community Meetings
Highlights on Powerful Learning Gains in Building Evaluation Capacity

Grantees were asked through surveys, interviews, and a final report to describe their growth in evaluation capacity as associated with the CS OPEN initiative. Below are the skills or advancements that grantees self-reported as correlated with participation in the CS OPEN initiative:

- Streamlining evaluation tools across all programs
- Creating and developing better evaluation tools and instruments with more relatable context
- Empowering all staff
- Surveying schedule
- Moving beyond basic surveys
- Developing qualitative evaluation approaches
- Designing a framework and providing the support needed to shape the department
- Creating milestones and measures
- Transcribing data

Major Successes of CS OPEN

- CS OPEN enabled five of the project evaluations to happen.
- CS OPEN enabled the expansion of six existing evaluation efforts.
- Most grantees aspire to become experts in evaluation.

Evaluation Findings on Engaging Girls in CS Education

- Tap girls’ natural enthusiasm at young ages. Girls are interested and want to be engaged in CS.
- Consider environment. Girls do well in all-girl settings. Physical activity can be integrated.
- Offer hands-on, exploratory activities. Use hands-on activities, not lectures. Make activities engaging and relevant.
- Provide support and encouragement. Provide help whenever possible, even outside of programming hours.
- Use programming formats that utilize maximum number of hours available and progress long-term. More contact time can support stronger outcomes.
- Provide role models, a vision for future career. Linking CS to the real world is key.

Recommendations for Strengthening CS OPEN

1. Differentiate tools and support based on experience level.
2. Organize and focus the Community Meetings around specific themes, as well as create non-mandatory topic-specific training sessions.
3. Create working groups based on program characteristics.

Resources